



REPORT

[This report should be made to report activities related with the project namely: meetings, workpackages reports, quality report and other activities.]

partner in charge **UNIVERSITY OF HUMANITIES AND ECONOMICS IN LODZ**

date **July 2015**

location **University of Humanities and Economics in Lodz**

Participants: **ISCTE - University Institute of Lisbon , University of Salford, UNIVERSITY OF HUMANITIES AND ECONOMICS IN LODZ, Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, The Institute for Developmental and Strategic Analysis, Varna Free University “Chernorizets Hrabar”, Plantijn Hogschool, University of Wolverhampton, FuturSolutions, Enertecna, Univercell Battery, KEKO**

type of report **Quality & Evaluation report – partners’ feedback questionnaires sending by e - mail**

1. Objectives and general description of the activity

To evaluate the final stage of the project (last internal evaluation)

2. Activity implementation (when applicable)

Distributing questionnaires to the partners by e – mail.

3. Outputs (when applicable)

1. Part 1 - Please rate the following aspects related to the progress of the project

	1 – Agree	2 – Don’t know	3 – Disagree	No answer
1. The objectives of the project are clear to me.	14/14 (100%)	0/14 (0%)	0/14 (0%)	0/14 (0%)
2 - The coordination approach is appropriate	13/14(93%)	0/14 (0%)	0/14 (0%)	1/14 (7%)
3 - Coordinator is supporting project	11/14(79%)	0/14 (0%)	1/14 (7%)	2/14 (14%)



With the support of the *Lifelong Learning Programme* of the European Union.

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This communication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

partners adequately				
4 - Project partners are cooperating on all tasks	9/14 (64%)	3/14(22%)	2/14 (14%)	0/14 (0%)
5 - The project activities have been progressing according to the timetable	10/14 (72%)	2/14 (14%)	2/14 (14%)	0/14 (0%)
6 - Project partners can learn from project activities	13/14 (93%)	1/14 (7%)	0/14 (0%)	0/14 (0%)
7 - Project partners can learn from each other	10/14 (72%)	2/14 (14%)	1/14 (7%)	1/14 (7%)
8 - There is a realistic timescale of future activities	9/14 (64%)	3/14 (22%)	2/14 (14%)	0/14 (0%)
9 - The initial time planning of activities needs review	5/14 (36%)	2/14 (14%)	7/14 (50%)	0/14 (0%)
10 - The project budget is well planned	11/14 (78%)	2/14 (14 %)	1/14 (7%)	0/14 (0%)
11 - All partners contribute to the project adequately	7/14 (50%)	2/9 (14 %)	5/14 (36%)	0/14 (0%)
12 - The role of each partner is clear to me	12/14 (86%)	2/14 (14%)	0/14 (0%)	0/14 (0%)
13 - The communication among partners is effective	11/14 (77%)	1/14 (7%)	2/14 (14%)	0/14 (0%)



2. General comments regarding project progress and implementation, suggestions for improvements: (optional question)

Generally Project Partners are very glad with participation in this Project and from questionnaires we can draw a conclusion that they are satisfied with common results.

3. Part 2 - Please rate the following aspects of the related to the WPs progress

Regarding the evaluation of the WP progress all partners selected the WP that they were leading and agreed with the questions asked as the following table shows. This indicates that the leading partners of each WP where highly satisfied with the WP development and the project implementation.

4. Choose the option that suits your opinion (optional question)

	1 – Agree	2 – Don't know	3 – Disagree
1 - This WP is/was progressing according to the timetable	10/10 (100%)	0/10 (0%)	0/10 (0%)
2 - Timescale for this WP is/was realistic	10/10 (100%)	0/10 (0%)	0/10 (0%)
3 - There are/were no complications with this WP	10/10 (100%)	0/10 (0%)	0/10 (0%)
4 - I have/had a clear strategy for leading this WP and I know my responsibilities	10/10 (100%)	0/10 (0%)	0/10 (0%)
5 - I regularly communicate/communicated with other partners in this WP and	10/10 (100%)	0/10 (0%)	0/10 (0%)



With the support of the *Lifelong Learning Programme* of the European Union.

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This communication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.



provide/provided all necessary information and support			
6 - The partners involved in this WP cooperate/cooperated effectively and provide/provided necessary contribution	10/10 (100%)	0/10 (0%)	0/10 (0%)
7 - The project coordinator provides/provided support	10/10 (100%)	0/10 (0%)	0/10 (0%)
8 - The budget of this WP is/was well planned	10/10 (100%)	0/10 (0%)	0/10 (0%)

5. Comments – please comment on the progress of the WP you are leading, encountered problems, suggested improvements and other issues related to your WP: (optional question):

There were no comments on this question.

5. Activity evaluation (when applicable)

The 100% feedback

6. Future commitments and task (when applicable)

7. Recommendations

The Project coordinators should disseminate this report (among all Project Participants).



With the support of the *Lifelong Learning Programme* of the European Union.

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This communication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.